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## 1 Introduction

## Aims of the study

- Investigation of the eigenfrequencies of prolate spheroidal magneto-optic cavities
- Scattering formulation employing spheroidal eigenvectors for the expansion of the incident, interior and scattered fields
- Application of a root-finding algorithm for obtaining the eigenfrequencies


## 1 Introduction

## Magneto-optic cavities

- Magneto-optical coupling between spin and electromagnetic waves in the visible or near-infrared part of the spectrum can be realized in optomagnonic cavities
- The typical configuration for implementing magneto-optical coupling is through spherical cavities composed of bismuth-substituted yttrium iron garnets (Bi:YIG), which exhibit gyroelectric properties in the near-infrared
- Spheroidal cavity shape presents significant interest, due to experimental considerations and the occurrence of shape defects during the manufacturing of spherical cavities



## 1 Introduction

## Configuration under study

Prolate spheroid composed of magneto-optical material

$c_{0}$ : semi-major axis
$b_{0}$ : semi-minor axis $\alpha$ : semi-focal distance $h=\alpha / c_{0}:$ eccentricity

- Gyroelectric permitivitty tensor due to external magnetic bias

$$
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\epsilon_{0}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\epsilon & i g & 0 \\
-i g & \epsilon & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \epsilon
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Scattering formulation with impinging plane EM wave $\mathbf{E}^{\text {inc }}=\boldsymbol{y} e^{i k_{0}\left(x \sin \theta_{0}+z \cos \theta_{0}\right)}$


## 2 Solution of the problem

Field expansions
Incident field: $\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{inc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=|m|}^{\infty}\left[C_{m n}\left(c, \theta_{0}\right) \mathbf{M}_{m n}^{r(1)}\left(c, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)+D_{m n}\left(c, \theta_{0}\right) \mathbf{N}_{m n}^{r(1)}\left(c, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right]$,
Scattered field: $\quad \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=|m|}^{\infty}\left[A_{m n} \mathbf{M}_{m n}^{r(3)}\left(c, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)+B_{m n} \mathbf{N}_{m n}^{r(3)}\left(c, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right]$,
where $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}=(\xi, \eta, \varphi)$ the spheroidal coordinates, $c=k_{0} \alpha, \mathbf{M}_{m n}^{r(j)}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{m n}^{r(j)}$ the complex spheroidal eigenvectors of the first $(j=1)$ and third kind $(j=3)$.


## 2 Solution of the problem

## Field expansions

- Employ spherical eigenvector expansion of the electric field in the gyroelectric region [Li and Ong, IEEE TAP, 2011]

Internal field: $\quad \mathbf{E}^{\text {int }}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=|m|}^{\infty} \bar{E}_{m n} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l}\left[c_{m n} / \mathbf{m}_{m n}^{(1)}\left(k_{l}, \mathbf{r}\right)+d_{m n} \mathbf{n}_{m n}^{(1)}\left(k_{l}, \mathbf{r}\right)\right.$ $(m, n) \neq(0,0)$

$$
\left.+\frac{\bar{w}_{m n l}}{\lambda_{l}} \mathbf{I}_{m n}^{(1)}\left(k_{l}, \mathbf{r}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l} \frac{w_{00 l}}{\lambda_{l}} \mathbf{I}_{00}^{(1)}\left(k_{l}, \mathbf{r}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{r}=(r, \theta, \varphi)$ the spherical coordinates, $\bar{E}_{m n}$ known normalization constant, and $\mathbf{m}_{m n}^{(1)}, \mathbf{n}_{m n}^{(1)}, \mathbf{I}_{m n}^{(1)}$ the complex spherical eigenvectors of the first kind, $k_{l}=k_{0} \sqrt{\epsilon / \lambda_{l}}$, whereas $c_{m n l}, d_{m n l}, \bar{w}_{m n l}, w_{001}, \lambda_{l}$ are known quantities, obtained by solving an eigenvalue problem, the coefficient matrix of which depends on the permittivity tensor elements.

## 2 Solution of the problem

Field expansions

- Transform spherical expansion of $\mathbf{E}^{\text {int }}$ into one in terms of spheroidal eigenvectors [Cooray and Ciric, COMPEL, 1989]

Internal field: $\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{int}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=|m|}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l}\left[C_{m n /} \mathbf{M}_{m n}^{r(1)}\left(c_{l}, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)+D_{m n /} \mathbf{N}_{m n}^{r(1)}\left(c_{l}, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right.$

$$
\left.+\frac{\bar{W}_{m n l}}{\lambda_{l}} \mathbf{L}_{m n}^{(1)}\left(c_{l}, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right]+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{l} \frac{w_{00 l}}{\lambda_{l}} \Gamma_{00 \ell}\left(c_{l}\right) \mathbf{L}_{0 \ell}^{(1)}\left(c_{l}, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{L}_{m n}^{(1)}$ the irrotational complex spheroidal eigenvector of the first kind, $c_{l}=k_{l} \alpha$, whereas $C_{m n l}, D_{m n l}, \bar{W}_{m n l}, \Gamma_{00 \ell}$ are known quantities.

- Respective magnetic fields $\mathbf{H}^{\text {inc }}, \mathbf{H}^{\text {sc }}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\text {int }}$ obtained by Faraday's law $\mathbf{H}=-i /\left(\omega \mu_{0}\right) \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$


## 2 Solution of the problem

Boundary conditions at spheroid's surface

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{n} \times\left[\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{inc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{int}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}} \in S}=0 \\
\hat{n} \times\left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{inc}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{int}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}} \in S}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Four sets of linear equations involving the unknown field expansion coefficients $\left\{A_{m n}, B_{m n}, a_{l}\right\} \longrightarrow$ linear system of the form $\mathbb{A}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{b}$
- $\mathbf{v}=\left[A_{m n}, B_{m n}, a_{1}\right]^{T}$ is the vector of unknown expansion coefficients, $\mathbf{b}$ is the excitation vector whose components depend on the expansion coefficients of the incident wave $C_{m n}\left(c, \theta_{0}\right), D_{m n}\left(c, \theta_{0}\right)$, and $\mathbb{A}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the system matrix
- $x_{0}=k_{0} c_{0}$ : normalized wavenumber


## 2 Solution of the problem

## Resonance problem

- Set $\mathbf{b}=0$, i.e., consider zero excitation, to investigate the resonance problem $\longrightarrow \mathbb{A}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathbf{v}=0$
- In order for the system to have non-trivial solutions $\longrightarrow \operatorname{det} \mathbb{A}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$
- Employ an efficient root-finding algorithm [Zouros, Comput. Phys. Comm., 2018] and find complex resonant wavenumbers $x_{0}$
- Respective complex eigenfrequencies $f=x_{0} /\left(2 \pi c_{0} \sqrt{\epsilon_{0} \mu_{0}}\right)$


## 3 Numerical Results

Eigenfrequency calculation
Spherical cavity-comparison with shape perturbation technique of [Kolezas et al, IEEE JSTQE, 2019]

Table: Normalized eigenfrequencies $x_{0}$ for magneto-optic spherical cavity with $h=0$. Values of parameters: $\epsilon=5.5$ and $g=0.02$.

| Mode <br> index | $x_{0}$ <br> [this work] | $\operatorname{Re}\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ <br> [Kolezas et al, IEEE JSTQE, 2019] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $m=2$ | $6.34087-6.96427 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34087 |
| $m=1$ | $6.34097-6.96901 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34097 |
| $m=0$ | $6.34105-6.97215 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34106 |
| $m=-1$ | $6.34114-6.97310 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34113 |
| $m=-2$ | $6.34121-6.97150 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34121 |

## 3 Numerical Results

## Eigenfrequency calculation

Slightly perturbed spherical cavity-comparison with shape perturbation technique of [Kolezas et al, IEEE JSTQE, 2019]

Table: Normalized eigenfrequencies $x_{0}$ for magneto-optic spheroidal cavity with $h=0.01$. Values of parameters: $\epsilon=5.5$ and $g=0.02$.

| Mode <br> index | $x_{0}$ <br> [this work] | $\operatorname{Re}\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ <br> [Kolezas et al, IEEE JSTQE, 2019] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $m=2$ | $6.34104-6.96459 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34103 |
| $m=1$ | $6.34113-6.96928 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34112 |
| $m=0$ | $6.34121-6.97247 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34119 |
| $m=-1$ | $6.34130-6.97304 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34127 |
| $m=-2$ | $6.34137-6.97147 \times 10^{-5} ;$ | 6.34139 |

## 3 Numerical Results

## Eigenfrequency calculation

Table: Normalized eigenfrequencies $x_{0}$ for magneto-optic spheroidal cavity with $h=0.1$. Values of parameters: $\epsilon=5.5$ and $g=0.02$.

| Mode <br> index | $x_{0}$ —[this work] |
| :--- | :---: |
| $m=2$ | $6.35755-7.05128 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=1$ | $6.35726-7.04829 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=0$ | $6.35719-7.04199 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=-1$ | $6.35736-7.03187 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=-2$ | $6.35776-7.01947 \times 10^{-5} ;$ |

## 3 Numerical Results

## Eigenfrequency calculation

Table: Normalized eigenfrequencies $x_{0}$ for magneto-optic spheroidal cavity with $h=0.2$. Values of parameters: $\epsilon=5.5$ and $g=0.02$.

| Mode <br> index | $x_{0}$ —[this work] |
| :--- | :---: |
| $m=2$ | $6.41003-8.00140 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=1$ | $6.40851-8.00846 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=0$ | $6.40796-7.98339 \times 10^{-5} i$ |
| $m=-1$ | $6.40840-7.92740 \times 10^{-5} ;$ |
| $m=-2$ | $6.40981-7.84405 \times 10^{-5} i$ |

## 4 Conclusions

- Method based on spheroidal eigenvector formulation for the calculation of the eigenfrequencies of prolate spheroidal magneto-optic cavities is proposed
- Our approach allows for the calculation of the eigenfrequencies with increased accuracy but turns out to be time consuming when large changes in the eccentricity $h$ are considered
- Small changes in $h$ lead to relatively large shifts in the eigenfrequencies
- Straightforward extension to oblate spheroidal cavities
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